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It is clear, from the statements so far, that there is certainly deep interest on the issue and a commitment from all sides to engage very passionately on this. We look forward to the member States reaching consensus on this issues so as to provide guidance to the UN system.

We just wanted to pick up on the issues of this UN system’s role, we think that it is important that all parts of the UN family consider how the prevention of mass atrocity crimes impacts their work. The UNODC, an organization which one might not commonly associate with Responsibility to Protect, as early as 2005, right when the World Summit adopted paragraphs 138 and 139, released a report on crime and development in Africa cited a study which showed how the experience of civil war increased homicide rates by 25% for a period of five years after the end of the conflict irrespective of changes, such as the level of income, inequality or the nature of State institutions. These issues are embedded in the work of all UN agencies because they are critical and crucial.

In UNODC, for instance, we have signed MoUs with the ICC on the enforcement of sentences and protection of victims, improving the capacity of States to enforce sentences and we believe that this is a contribution to combating impunity. UNODC’s work on the rule of law, under the STG’s particular goal 16, on creating just and inclusive societies, is tied into pillars one and two of Responsibility to Protect.

We ask how all parts of the UN system, particularly those whose connection to Responsibility to Protect may not be as immediately apparent, can better engage with the Responsibility to Protect concept in their work.

We, all parts of the UN system, are guided by the work and role played by such important advocates as Mr. Dieng whose personal role cannot be overstated, as seen by how he has skillfully guided today’s debate. We very much appreciate the leadership on this.