



**President of the General Assembly  
His Excellency Mr. Nassir Abdulaziz Al-Nasser**

**Remarks  
Interactive Dialogue on  
The Responsibility to Protect**

**5 September 2012  
New York**

Your Excellency, Secretary-General, Ban Ki-moon,

Mr. Adama Dieng, Special Adviser of the Secretary General on the  
Prevention of Genocide,

Excellencies, Distinguished Delegates,  
Ladies and Gentlemen,

From the outset, I would like to thank Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon for  
his Fourth Report on the Responsibility to Protect.

Let me also take this opportunity to congratulate Mr Adama Dieng, on his  
recent appointment as the new Special Adviser of the Secretary-General on  
the Prevention of Genocide.

It is my pleasure to preside over this year's interactive dialogue on the  
Responsibility to Protect.

At the 2005 World Summit, Heads of State and Government, gathering here  
at the United Nations, adopted the concept of the Responsibility to Protect,  
with the aim of guarding populations against genocide, war crimes, ethnic  
cleansing, and crimes against humanity.

This commitment was compelled, in part, by some recent failures of the international community to prevent the tragedies of genocide and war crimes in some parts of the world, namely, Srebrenica, Rwanda and Kosovo.

The governments of the world did not only renew their commitment to protecting their populations, but they also vowed to respond collectively when confronted with clear cases of governments being un-able or un-willing to protect their citizens from mass atrocities.

Heads of State and Government also requested the General Assembly to keep the concept of the Responsibility to Protect under continued consideration.

So we have been holding these annual informal interactive dialogues since 2009, with the objective of further developing different aspects of this concept.

Every one of these annual discussions has been supported by a report of the Secretary-General, prepared for the consideration of the General Assembly.

In his first report, in 2009, the Secretary-General outlined a strategy for the implementation of the Responsibility to Protect concept.

Since then, the international community has made some progress towards refining the concept, strengthening partnerships and sharpening the tools for the implementation of each of its three pillars.

However, some aspects of the concept could still benefit from further refinement.

We must acknowledge that controversy still exists over selectivity and timing of response, monitoring of Security Council resolutions, and other matters raised in relation to implementation and necessary action.

These are legitimate concerns.

Through implementation of the Responsibility to Protect, the role of the UN is not to supplant or replace the State in meeting its legal obligation to protect.

The Responsibility to Protect is rather intended, as a modality for assisting a government that is unable to deliver on its protection obligations.

The international community can only act, in the event that a State

“manifestly fails” to protect its citizens.

So, the international response is intended to reinforce, not undermine, national sovereignty. This should help governments to ensure full protection to populations.

In the report under discussion, the Secretary-General encourages us to pay particular attention to the close relationship between prevention and response, as well as the range of tools available, including non-coercive measures, plus partnerships for implementation.

I commend Secretary-General BAN Ki-moon for designating 2012, as the Year of Prevention.

By this act, he has enhanced the visibility of the Responsibility to Protect. He has accorded the necessary prominence to prevention as the first line of defence against genocide, war crimes, ethnic cleansing, and crimes against humanity.

Prevention is at the core of the Responsibility to Protect!

The use of force – under Chapter Seven of the United Nations Charter – must be a measure of last resort, to be carried out in a proportional manner, bearing in mind the balance of consequences.

I wish to note, at this juncture, the Brazilian initiative on “Responsibility while Protecting”, which has added value to the debate. This calls on international actors to act responsibly during every stage of the implementation of this concept.

I have no doubt that today’s dialogue will result in a healthy exchange of ideas and perspectives that will advance the General Assembly’s consideration of this important, but sensitive concept.

Our committed engagement will help to ensure the credible, legitimate and timely protection of populations from genocide, war crimes, ethnic cleansing, and crimes against humanity.

I wish you every success in your deliberations.

Thank you.

**END**